



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/188,492	11/09/1998	SEONGHO BAE	33012-250-10	4421
75	590 12/19/2002			
CHARLES A JOHNSON UNISYS CORPORATION P O BOX 64942			EXAMINER	
			WASSUM, LUKE S	
MS 4772 ST PAUL, MN 55164			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2177	2177
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	2

.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Apant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/188,492	BAE, SEONGHO			
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Luke S. Wassum	2177			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 06 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee 1 fee. The appropriate extension fee under			
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/188,492

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The addition of numerous limitations, most notably those made to independent claim 1, would require further consideration and/or new search.

Furthermore, the arguments presented with regard to claims 11-14 are the same arguments presented in paper number 13, Amendment E, and were addressed by the examiner in the Final Rejection, paper number 14.

Tuke & Wassur

JOHN BREENE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100